

From:brenda.lucki@rcmp-grc.gc.ca
Sent:Sun, 20 Feb 2022 08:28:20 -0500
To:Lucki, Brenda
Importance:Normal

In regards to your conversation on exclusion zones, I have a few thoughts: I would agree that new legislation would be best for POEs and other critical infrastructure as a more consistent tool in a policing toolbox.

What just happened to coastal gas link is an example of the vulnerability. Solicitor-Client Priv. Solicitor-Client Priv. It would remove the necessity to get an injunction but put more pressure on police to get involve earlier. It would be easier to obtain larger perimeter/exclusion zone than through injunction . I think it may facilitate enforcement but who would be responsible? RCMP across country? Probably better to leave it to police of jurisdiction if not property owned by Canada. It would be coherent with the RCMP's mandate pursuant to s. 14 of RCMP regulations to protect Property owned or controlled by the government of Canada. The big question would be the penalties to ensure it has the required level deterrents and consequences, so we would need to examine financial sanctions and powers akin to the one granted through the EA Order. All and all good but would set high expectations on police. RCMP might need more resources.

From Jody T: Really helpful and I think you need to put it on the table with your minister. Yes more resources are an inevitable outcome of this - if they want it done properly